UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,363	10/14/2005	Marie-Pascale Latorse	P/3610-63	9080
	7590 01/27/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,363	LATORSE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ALTON N. PRYOR	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ	s the			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriate extension nally set in the final Office action; or (fee 2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN APPEAR 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	ΓE below);	nr.			
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially re-	ducing of simplifying the issues to	/ 1			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	·	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1 and 15-17. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDANT OF OTHER FORDSTORES.		I be entered and an explanation c	o†			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	before or on the date of filing a Ne	otice of Anneal will not be entered	I			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to provide ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	· a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		n condition for allowance because	:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
	/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1616				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The Applicants have not addressed the Examiner's concern with respect to the data enclosed in the specification. The results for the later ratios are unclear (see Table 1 on page 12). For example in Table 1 for the 1:2 ratio practical doses for 70% efficacy are 3.2 and 6.4, whereas the theoretical doses for 70% efficacy are 7 and 14. Why are practical doses so different (3.2 versus 6.4)? The Examiner has the same question for said theoretical doses (7 versus 14). Claims do not appear to be commensurate in scope with data provided in the specification.